

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,312	09/25/2006	Kevin Wickline	US040174US	6113	
	7590 01/15/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			GUPTA, VANI		
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3768		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/599,312	WICKLINE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VANI GUPTA	3768	

	VANI GUPTA	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2-5 and 7-18. Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e.	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach-	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768	/Vani Gupta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3768					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claim 1 includes new feature "thin-walled volume compensation balloon...located within the probe." This features was not previously presented in any of the claims. This addition also changes the scope of dependent claims 2 - 6. Therefore, this is a new issue and requires further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11, Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments with respect to "the volume compensation ballon containing a small fraction of the fluid of hamber at room temperature" are not persuasive. As stated in previous office action, claim language is not very specific about "small fraction." A small fraction could be any small amount of liquid relative to another bigger amount. However, since Claim 1 does not indicate what either amount entails, it is not nover prior and for this feature alone. Additionally, as also stated in previous office action, the fluid could be in any one of the components at one time. If the device is tilted at a certain angle, the liquid flow could be manipulated so that a smaller amount of the fluid is in in the balloon, after the rest of the fluid is in the fluid chamber. Such manipulations would occur naturally during use as explained in col. 2, II, 66 - 67; and col. 3, line 67 - col. 4, line 2. Claim 6 is still relected as in directed in the previous office action.